CYCLOCONDENSATION REACTIONS OF OPTICALLY ACTIVE &-ALKOXY ALDEHYDES TO KETENE IMINBS: SYNTHESIS OF CIIIBAL 2-IMINOOXETANES

Gaetano Barbaro,^a Arturo Battaglia, *^a Patrizia Giorgianni^a and Daria Giacomini^b

a Istituto dei Composti de1 Carbonio Contenenti Eteroatomi e loro Applicazioni (LC0.C.E.A.) de1 C.N.R., via della Chimica, 8, 40064 Ozzano Emilia, Italy. ^b Dipartimento di Chimica, "G. Ciamician" Universita', via Selmi, 2.40126 Bologna.

(Received in UK 21 January 1993)

Abstract: The Lewis acid promoted hetero-cycloaddition of a series of ketene imines to stereogenic (S) - α -alkoxy aldehydes is regiospecific and gives diastereomeric mixtures of the corresponding optically active 2-iminooxetanes. The possibility of achieving a "chelation" or "non-chelation" controlled facial selectivity is investigated. The non-chelating Lewis acids $(BF_3.Et_2O$ and $ZnCl_2.Et_2O)$ govern the selectivity of the cycloaddition, *anti-Cram* products being preferentially formed with low and medium size ketene imines. In contrast, syn-Cram configured isomers are preferentially formed whith the sterically demanding tert-butylketene N-p-methoxyphenylimine in the presence of catalytic amounts of lanthanide catalysts.

We have recently investigated the synthesis and reactivity of 2-iminooxetanes (3 of Scheme I).^{1, 2}

These heterocycles can be regarded as useful starting materials for synthetic routes to highly functionalized intermediates. In fact, 2-iminooxetanes undergo a variety of electrophilically initiated ring opening reactions leading to y-aminoalcohols (A) .² β -ketoamides (B) ,² β -hydroxyamides (C) ,³ β -lactams (D) ,² and g-derivatixed amides **(E).4** For this reason we have developed a strategy for synthesis of Z-iminooxetanes with different functions at C3 and C4,¹ utilizing lanthanide-induced [2+2]-hetero-cycloadditions of aldehydes to ketene imines (Scheme I). The high regioselectivity⁵ of the cyclocondensations prompted us to investigate the possibility of preparing optically active 2-iminooxetanes using chiral aldehydes as reagents. In order to gain a better knowledge about chiral induction in these reactions we can choose two different models, which create two pairs of new chiral centers at the binding sites. According to the first model, rigid chiral auxiliaries are attached to the carbonyl center of the aldehyde. The esters of optically active alcohols of glyoxylic acid are suitable candidates for this model, as they have already been used in asymmetric induction studies of oxetane forming photocycloadditions to electron-rich olefins.⁶ We will report the results of our studies using this type of approach in a separate paper. The other model relies on the temporary conversion of a conformationally mobile acyclic chiral aldehyde to a more rigid cyclic one. This can be obtained, for example, when a chiral derivative of an **a-alkoxy** aldehyde is chelated with a Lewis acid metal catalyst and product distribution is the result of a "chelation-controlled diastereofacial selectivity".⁷ If the metal does not form a good chelate the diastereofacial selectivity may be predicted by other models for which the selectivity is regulated by electronic and/or steric factors (non-chelation control).⁸ In this case, a dipolar model that would place the polar alkoxy substituent *anti* to the carbonyl would lead to products with the relative stereochemistry opposite to that predicted by the "chelation control" model. In contrast to the successful investigations of 1, 2-like induction in Lewis acids induced hetero-Diels Alder reactions with chiral aldehydes, ⁹ systematic investigations on high induction are lacking in Lewis acid induced 1,2-hetero cycloadditions of cumulenes. Due to this lack we have exploited, as an extension of our previous studies¹ with racemic reagents, the possibility of controlling chelation or non-chelation in diastereogenic 1,2-hetero cycloadditions of chiral α -alkoxy aldehydes to racemic unsymmetrically monosubstituted ketene imines.

Results and Discussion

The optically active (S)- α -alkoxy aldehydes **la-d** were reacted with C-monosubstituted ketene imines 2a-c in the presence of lanthanide shift reagents [Yt(fod)₃, Yt(hfc)₃, Eu(fod)₃, Eu(tfc)₃],¹⁰ chromium acetylacetonate [Cr(acac)3], and the etherate complexes of MgBr2, BF3, and ZnCl2. Depending on the substituents in the reagents and the type of catalyst, variable mixtures of four stereoisomers of oxetanes 3-11 (Scheme II) were obtained having an absolute configuration of *SRR, SSR, SSS, SRS* with respect to the CS, C4 and the C3 carbon atoms of the oxetane mojety. Product distribution is regulated by a "diasterofacial selectivity" and by a

"simple diastereoselectivity". "Diasterofacial selectivity" is responsible for a stereodifferentiation around $C4-C5$ of the oxetane and originates from a chelation or a non-chelation controlled approach with the metal catalyst of the chiral α -alkoxy aldehyde to the racemic ketene imine. The stereochemical consequence is the formation of the (S) -C4, (S) -C5 and the (S) -C4, (R) C5 stereoisomers, respectively.

3:R=R1= **Me,R'=TBDMB; 4:R=Rl=Me,R'=CPh3; S:R=Me,Rl=Et,R'=CPh3;** 6: R = Me, R₁ = Et, R' = TBDMS; 7: R = C₆H₅, R₁ = Et, R' = TBDMS; 8: R = Me, R₁ = Me₃C, R' = CPh₃; $9: R = C_6H_5$, $R_1 = Me_3C$, $R' = TBDMS$; 10: $R = R' = MEM$, 11: $R = Me$, $R_1 = Me_3C$, $R' = MEM$

Instead, "simple diastereoselection"¹¹ is responsible for a *cis-trans* stereodifferentiation around C3-C4 carbon atoms of the oxetane moiety. Structures were assigned on the basis of their IR spectra which showed an absorption in the 1730-1750 cm⁻¹ (lit, 1735-1760^{1,5}) attributed to the exocyclic O-C=N function. Mass spectra revealed, besides the peak of the molecular ion, a fragmentation pattern of four peaks deriving from two different retrocycloadditions along the two main axes of the ring, namely the isocyanate and the ethylene derivative along one axis, and the ketene imine and the aldehyde along the other. All the isomers exhibited consistent ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectral data. Attached Proton Test (APT) and HETCOR experiments were performed to distinguish the different carbons. The stereostructures were assigned by 1 H and 13 C NMR, mostly on the basis of the relative ${}^{1}H^{-1}H$ coupling constants for the vicinal hydrogens at C3-C4 and at C4-C5 $(J_{3,4}$ and $J_{4,5}$, Table I) and on the basis of NOE experiments. The ¹³C NMR spectra showed selected resonances mnging from 41.3 to 58.9 ppm attributed to C3, from 80.5 to 86.8 for C4, from 67.3 to 76.9 for C5, and from 158.1 to 161.4 for C2. The assignment of stereoconfiguration around C3-C4 was particularly straightforward. In fact, previous investigations on 2-iminooxetanes¹ showed that the coupling constants of vicinal protons in the ring, $J_{cis} > J_{trans}$, may be used to distinguish the isomers. The range of coupling constants in this study was $J_{3,4} = 3.6$ -4.6 for the C3-C4 *trans* couple of diastereomers *SRS*, *SSR* and $J_{3,4} =$ 6.5-7.0 Hz for the *cis* couple *SRR, SSS.* Consistently, the C4-H hydrogen of the *cis* resonates at a lower field than the *trans*. The ¹H NMR correlations were supported by ¹³C NMR analysis. In fact, as a general rule, the C4 carbon of the *trans* couple resonates at a lower field than the *cis* one. The stereorelationship between C4-C5 was more difficult to define.

Entry	Oxetane	13 C NMR			¹ H NMR			$\left[a\right]_D^{20}$	
		C ₃	C ₅	C ₄	C=N	$C4-H$	$J_{3,4}$	$J_{4,5}$	
$\mathbf{1}$	3-SRR	42.0	67.8	82.9	161.2	4.40	6.8	8.5	
	$3 - SSS$	43.0	67.3	81.8	161.4	4.40	6.8	6.0	
	3-SRS	42.8	69.3	86.8	160.4	4.15	4.3	6.0	
23456789	3-SSR	41.3	67.6	86.3	160.7	4.12	3.8	3.5	
	4-SRR	42.6	69.0	81.5	161.1	4.58	6.9	8.5	$+133.7$
	$4 - SSS$	43.2	68.7	82.0	161.1	4.49	6.9	5.6	
	4-SRS	42.6	69.9	84.5	160.5	3.90	4.0	6.0	
	4-SSR	42.8	69.5	86.0	160.7	4.01	4.2	3.5	
	5-SRR	49.7	69.0	81.4	160.5	4.54	7.0	7.5	
10	5-SSS	50.2	68.9	82.0	160.7	4.45	7.0	4.5	$+47.0$
11	5-SRS	49.0	70.0	82.6	159.8	4.01	4.2	5.6	
12	5-SSR	49.1	69.3	83.9	159.9	4.08	4.0	3.1	-73.3
13	6-SSS	50.1	67.6	81.8	160.8	4.43	6.6	6.0	
14	6-SSR	48.0	65.5	84.5	160.2	4.22	3.6	3.6	
15	6-SRR	48.3	67.7	82.6	160.6	4.43	7.0	8.0	
16	6-SRS	49.1	69.2	84.7		4.24	4.3	5.9	
17	7-SRR	49.6	74.8	82.2	160.8	4.90	6.9	7.9	-32.6
18	$7 - SSS$	50.7	74.1	81.6	160.4	4.80	6.5	5.6	$+57.0$
19	7-SRS	49.7	76.7	84.7	159.3	4.39	4.2	6.6	-8.4
20	7-SSR	47.2	73.6	84.9	159.9	4.39	4.0	2.7	
21	8-SRS	57.9	70.1	79.8	158.8	4.07	4.0	4.0	
22	8-SSR	58.3	69.5	80.9	158.9	4.22	4.0	2.5	
23	9-SRS	58.5	76.9	81.3	158.2	4.40	4.1	6.3	-49.3
24	9-SSR	55.9	73.7	81.6	158.6	4.44	3.8	2.7	$+44.3$
25	10-SRR	42.4	71.1	81.8	160.8	4.56	6.9	9.5	
26	10-SSS	43.2	71.9	80.8	161.0	4.53	6.8	6.5	
27	10-SRS	43.6	73.2	85.7	159.9	4.26	4.6	7.1	
28	10-SSR	42.2	71.8	85.3	160.4	4.26	4.3	4.3	
29	11-SSS	58.9	73.4	80.5	158.1	4.37	6.5	4.2	- 44.1
30	11-SSR	57.6	71.9	85.2	158.6	4.36	3.8	3.2	$+6.4$

Table I. Relevant ¹³C and ¹H NMR Chemical Shifts (ppm) and $J_{3,4}$ and $J_{4,5}$ (Hz) Coupling Constants Values and Optical Rotations ($[a]_{D}^{20}$) of 2-Iminooxetanes 3-11

Inspection of the Dreiding models of the SSS and SRR stereoisomers showed that in these C3-C4 cis compounds there is a strong repulsive interaction between the methyl group of the hydroxyethyl side-chain and the alkyl chain C3. This interaction favours an "anti" H4-H5 conformation in the SRR isomers and a "gauche" conformation in the SSS. On this basis, a larger J4,5 value in the *SRR* isomers than in SSS is to be expected. Typically, the J4,5 coupling constants of the *SRR* isomers ranged between 7.5-9.5 Hz, those of SSS between 4.2-6.5 Hz. A similar behaviour has been also observed in related heterocycles, such as the 2-azetidinones. 12

In the case of the C3-C4 *trans* compounds *(SRS* and *SSR)* an inspection of the Dreiding models suggests that the alkoxy protecting group should be aligned antipetiplanar to the oxygen atom of the oxetane in the more stable conformation. In line with this model an "anti" H4-H5 conformation is adopted by *SRS* and a *"gauche"* by the *SRR* isomers. Accordingly, the larger J4,5 coupling constants, ranging between 4.0-7.1 Hz, were found for the *SRS* isomers, while those of *SSR ranged* between 2.5-4.3 Hz. The absolute configuration

about C4-C5 was further confirmed by means of NOE difference spectra performed on the couple 9-SRS and 9-SSR. In fact, as expected from the proposed model, a NOE signal enhancement between the II5 and H3 protons was detected only in the minor isomer 9-SRS. In addition, NOE measurements indicate that the H4-H5 protons are closer in the SSR isomer.

The above criteria allowed the assignment of stereoconfiguration of oxetanes **11. These** cycloadducts were isolated as a pair of stereoisomers having a different stereochemistry about C3-C4: namely a cis has been assigned to that of entry 29 ($J_{3,4}$ = 6.5 Hz) and a *trans* to that of entry 30 ($J_{3,4}$ = 3.8 Hz). As a consequence, an *SSS* stereostructure $(J_{4,5} = 4.2 \text{ Hz})$ was assigned to the oxetane of entry 29 and an *SSR* configuration ($J_{4,5}$ = 3.2 Hz) to that of entry 30. Product distribution was determined by HPLC on the crude products, associated with ¹H NMR spectroscopy when some pairs of diastereomers were not resolved. Control experiments, performed on pure isolated diastereomers, did not result in any isomerization.

Entries 1-14 of Table II report the product distribution of lanthanide-induced cycloadditions of the ketene imines **2a-c** and aldehydes **la-d. The** reactions were performed under very mild conditions (25-30 "C) and the catalysts were employed in truly catalytic amount (typically, 1.5-2.0 %). These were inefficient only in the reaction involving the sterically demanding (S)-2-(triphenylmethyloxy) propanal (1b) and tert-butylketene imine $(2c)$. In this case the oxetanes 8 were obtained in the presence of an equimolar amount of MgBr₂.Et₂O (entry 15, Table II). Typically, entries 4-8 of Table II show the variation of this distribution for the reaction of (S)-2-(triphenylmethyloxy)-propanal (1b) and ethylketene N-p-methoxyphenylimine (2b) with a series of lanthanide catalysts and Cr(acac)3. **As** can be seen from the reported data, no significant facial selectivity was observed. This type of selectivity is here expressed as the C4-CS-facial selectivity ratio (FS) of the amount of chelate to non-chelate isomers [FS = (SSS + *SSR)/(SRS + SRR)].* It appears that lanthanides favor cycloaddition via non-chelation control but with only a slight degree of selectivity, as the FS ratio ranged between 0.44 [Yt(fod)3] to 0.83 [Eu(fod)3]. Similar results are reported for the aldol additions of litium enolates to α -alkoxy aldehydes and can be partially attributed to the presence of the bulky trityloxy protecting group that prevents chelation control. The type of lanthanide promoter also influences the extent of "simple diastereoselection", expressed as the C3-C4-simple selectivity ratio (SS) of the amount of Z- to E-isomers $[SS = (SSS + SRR)/(SRS + SSR)]$, this ratio ranged between 0.40 with Eu(tfc)3 to 1.65 with Yt(fod)3, depending on the nature of the metal ligand and on the organic residue linked to the metal, when the same metal is used. To achieve a better diastereoselectivity, we have explored the effect of the peripheral substituents in the reagents. As to the effect of the protecting group of the aldehyde, we have reacted the methylketene N-p-methoxyphenylketene imine (2a) with the (S)-lactaldehyde derivatives bearing the 2-(methoxyethoxy)methyloxy (O-MEM) **(Id)** substituent or the sterically demanding tert-butyldimetbylsilyloxy (1a), and trityloxy (1b) substituents. These reactions were performed in the presence of Yt(fod), and afforded the oxetanes 3, 4, and 10, respectively. Whatever the nature of the protecting group, the non-chelation controlled products are preferentially formed (entries 1, 2, and 12, Table II).

Entry	Catalyst	Oxetane	SRR	SSS	SRS	SSR	FS^a	ssb
1	$Yt(fod)_3$	3	35.1	22.2	25.2	17.5	0.66	1.34
	Yt(fod)	4	45.0	13.0	21.0	21.0	0.51	1.38
$\frac{2}{3}$	$Eu(fod)$ ₃		38.5	11.9	26.8	22.8	0.53	1.02
4	Yt(fod)	5	49.7	12.6	19.8	17.9	0.44	1.65
	Yt(hfc)	5	28.1	15.8	28.2	27.9	0.78	0.78
56789	Eu(fod)	5	23.0	27.0	32.0	18.0	0.83	1.0
	$Eu(tfc)_{3}$	5	11.0	17.4	45.8	25.8	0.76	0.40
	$Cr(\text{acac})_3$	5	32.3	30.0	24.4	13.3	0.76	1.65
	$Yt(fod)$ ₃	6	30.1	18.1	20.9	29.9	0.9	1.0 ₁
10	$Yt(fod)$ ₃	7	20.7	52.0	15.2	12.1	1.78	2.66
11	$Yt(fod)$ ₃	9			16.6	83.4	5.02	trans
12	$Yt(fod)$ ₃	10	36.5	32.2	21.3	10.2	0.74	2.17
13	Yt(hfc)	10	29.1	32.6	14.5	23.8	1.29	1.61
14	$Yt(fod)$ ₃	11		47.8	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$	52.2	>10	0.92
15	MgBr ₂	8			41.0	59.0	1.43	trans
16	ZnCl ₂	4	45.3	8.0	32.3	14.4	0.29	1.14
17	BF_3 ZnCl ₂	4	6.7	5.2	75.9	12.2	0.21	0.14
18		$\frac{5}{5}$	40.1	4.0	50.3	5.6	0.11	0.79
19	BF ₃		6.7	1.8	82.0	9.5	0.13	0.10

Table II. Dependence of the Isomer Distribution of Oxetanes 3-11 on the Type of Catalyst.

a. FS = C4-C5-Facial selectivity (SSS+SSR/SRS+SRR). b. SS = C3-C4-Simple selectivity (SSS+SRR/SRS+SSR)

This is quite surprising, since the MEM protecting group of 1d would seem likely to direct the selectivity in the way predicted by "Cram's cyclic model", due to the presence of two additional oxygen atoms, so that chelation involving a "crown-ether" effect would be possible. However, an improvement in the chelation diastereocontrol was achieved when 1d was reacted in the presence of Yt(hfc)3 (FS = 1.29, entry 13 of Table II).

It was observed that the nature of the substituent (R) in the aldehyde produces a noticeable variation in diastereoselectivity. This variation is shown in Table II which reports the product distribution of oxetanes 6 and 7 obtained from the Yt(fod)₃ induced cycloaddition of ethylketene $N-p$ -methoxyphenylimine 1b with OTBDMS derivatives of the (S)-lactaldehyde 1a (R = methyl) and of the (S)-mandelic aldehyde 1c (R = phenyl), respectively. Interestingly, the phenyl substituent of 1c favored a chelation diastereocontrol and a cis-C3-C4 diasteroselectivity (FS = 1.78, SS = 2.66, entry 10) with respect to the methyl of 1a (FS = 0.9, SS = 1.0, entry 9). The net result is that the phenyl substituent of the mandelic aldehyde favored the formation of the SSS stereoisomer which was obtained as the major one in 52 % amount.

Diasteroselectivity increased with the size of the alkyl substituent in the keten imine. For instance, the bulky tert-butyl substituent of 2c gave a good (S) -C4, (S) -C5 diastereoselection, the same as predicted by the chelation control, irrespective of the type of the aldehyde. In fact, a FS ratio of 5.0 was obtained in the reaction of 2c with the O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl protected mandelic aldehyde 1c (entry 11, Table II). Similarly, a total chelation-controlled selectivity was observed in the cycloaddition of 2c, in the presence of Yt(fod)₃, to the MEM protected aldehyde 1d (entry 14, Table II). In contrast, poor selectivity was observed with the less sterically demanding methylketene $N-p$ -methoxyphenylimine with the same aldehydes (FS = 1.8) and 0.74, respectively). The (S)-C4, (S)-C5 diastereoselectivity, caused by the sterically demanding tert-butyl substituent of ketene imine 2c, can be predicted by a Cornforth's dipolar model that places the alkoxy groups anti to the carbonyl. According to this mechanism, the determining factor which influences the FS ratio appears to be the relative bulk of the tert-butyl substituent versus the x-alkoxy protecting group of the aldehyde which disfavour the non-chelation controlled approach. As far as the effect of the substituents on the simple diasteroselectivity is concerned, it is worthwhile noting that a good level of trans-C3-C4 diastereocontrol was achieved only when both reagents were loaded by bulky substituents. In fact, no selectivity was found around C3-C4 when the sterically demanding *tert*-butylketene imine 2c reacted with the

% of $Yt(fod)$ ₃	тc	SRR	SRS	$_{\rm FS}$ b
1.8	25.0	34.0	27.0	0.7
14.8	25.0	13.4	28.8	1.4
1.4	-45.0	27.2	18.2	1.2
15.4	-45.0	3.0	4.0	13.0

Table III. Dependence of the Facial Selectivity (FS) on the Temperature and on the Amount^a of $Yt(fod)$ ₃ in the Reaction of Ketene Imine 2a with Aldehyde 1a Leading to 2-Iminooxetane

a. Molar % with espect to 2a. b. $FS = C4-C5$ -Facial selectivity (SSS+SSR/SRS+SRR).

less hindered MEM-protected aldehyde 1d. In contrast, the reaction was totally trans-C3-C4 selective when 2c reacted with the trityloxy and tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy substituted aldehydes 1b and 1c.

Table III reports the dependence of the facial selectivity with the temperature and with the amount of catalyst in the Yt(fod)₃ induced reaction of ketene imine 2a with aldehyde 1a, leading to the oxetane 3. A (S)-C4,(S)-C5 diastereoselection was favored when this ketene imine of moderate bulk reacted at low temperatures and when the amount of catalyst was increased. For instance, at - 45 °C with a 15 molar % of $Yt (fod)$ ₃, the FS ratio was of 13. This experimental observation prompted us to try other Lewis acids, such as the 1:1 etherate complexes of ZnCl₂ and BF₃ that are generally used in stoichiometric amounts at lower temperatures.¹³ Our aim was to improve *anti*-C4-C5 diastereoselection with ketene imines 2a and 2b, bearing linear alkyl substituents of moderate bulk, in the presence of Lewis acids incapable of chelation. The reactions, performed at - 50 °C, were completed within few minutes (BF3) or a few hours (ZnCl2). Product distributions are shown in Table II. It appears that, even when the steric environment is not demanding, both $BF₃$ and ZnCl₂ show significant levels of non-chelation control. However, a different behavior of the two catalysts was observed in the C3-C4-simple diastereoselection. Interestingly, the milder ZnCl₂ was not selective in this respect: a comparable amount of SRR and SRS isomers was obtained, while BF3 was an excellent catalyst for the formation of the SRS isomers.

Our results show that lanthanides catalysts are unable to promote a good chelate bidentate, hence to favor a chelation diastereocontrol, irrespective of the type of substituents in the aldehyde. In line with these results, absence of C3-C4 simple diastereoselection was also observed when substituents of medium size are present in the magents. Such absence suggests a stepwise mechanism for the hetero-cycloaddition (Scheme III) involving an initial aldol-type addition of the nucleophilic terminal carbon atom of the ketene imine to the carbon of the lanthanide-coordinated aldehyde. In fact, theoretical calculations on the electronic population of the C=C bond in ketene imines¹⁴ have shown that the resonance structures where the terminal carbon atom is negatively charged contribute significantly to the ground state structure. Such xwitterionic two-center transition state can be viewed as the result of a forbidden reaction, the dominant interaction occuning between the HOMO of the ketene imine and the LUMO of the lamhanide-coordmated aldehyde.

This forbidiness is a consequence of the lower efficiency of lanthanides in promoting ketene imine aldehyde hetem-cyloadditions with respect to stronger catalysts as boron trifluoride or zinc chloride and of a less pronounced 1,2-dipolar character of the ketene imines with respect to ketenes.¹⁴ Substitution of lanthanides with boron trifluoride and zinc chloride reduces the HOMO (ketene imine) - LUMO (Lewis acid-coordinated aldehyde) energy gap, so that the transition state stabilization energy is increased. Moreover, another interaction between the HOMO of the aldehyde and the LUMO of the Lewis acid coordinated lcetene imine, might compete for the energy stabilization of the transition state.¹⁵ This stabilization increases the rate of the hetero-cycloadditions and affect the diastereoselcctivity. In fact, these stronger interactions remove the forbidiness,¹⁶ thus favoring more highly organized allowed transition states.¹⁷ This sterically demanding transition state geometry results in a better *anti*-C3-C4 stereocontrol. This type of diastereocontrol, associated with a non-chelation approach of the reagents favors the formation of the *SRS* isomers.

Conclusions

Additional studies on chiral β or γ -alkoxy aldehydes, shown by Masamune¹⁸ to display higher levels of chelation control, ate worthy of examination. Notwithstanding, our efforts reveal some interesting points for which this reaction is attractive from a synthetic point of wiew. First, readily available starting materials are converted into **24minooxetanes** in high yield with only trace amounts of catalyst, even if the system is loaded with sterically demanding peripheral substituents. This is rather important for the achievement of high diastereocontrol. In fact, we have demonstrated that through proper choice of sterically demanding substituents the formation of stemoisomers is reduced to two, with a considerable excess of the chelation controlled products, as in the reactions of entries 11, 14, and 15 of Table II. Second, both BF_3 and ZnCl₂ are excellent catalysts for non-chelating diastemocontrol with smaller ketene imines. the *SRS* isomers being obtained with boron uifluoride. Third, at variance with the carbon-carbon bond forming reactions of C-nucleophiles, such as Grignard reagents or enolates, these "acid" aldol condensations do not require any

particular precautions. such as carefully dried solvents and inert atmosphere. Fourth; these findings allow the synthesis of new chiral building blocks, such as amino-polialcohols $(RC*HOR'C*HOHC*R_1R_2CH_2NHR_3)$ via hydrogenolysis of the corresponding 2-iminooxetanes.² Another application is the synthesis of chiral β -haloamides, suitable intermediates for the synthesis of the corresponding β -lactams³ (scheme I).

EXPERIMENTAL

General. The ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR data were obtained as CDCl₃ solutions and the internal reference was tetramethylsilane. The differential NOE experiments were performed on a Varian Gemini 300 instrument using NOEDIFF sequences in NMR tubes degassed with freeze-pump-thaw technique. IR spectra were obtained as Cclq solutions. Mass spectra were mcorded on a Varian MAT 112 S at an ionizing voltage of 70 eV. Product distribution was evaluated directly on the crude reaction mixtures by HPLC analysis using a 250 x 4.6 mm column of Hipersyl 5 MOS C_{R} - (230 nm). Buffer A: H₂O-CH₃CN (10:90); buffer B: H₂O; gradient: $30-25$ buffer B in 25 min, then 25-30 buffer B in 5 min; flow 1.0 ml/min, temperature 23 °C. On the occasions when some pairs of diastereomers could not be resolved with this technique, the 1 H NMR analysis was associated with the HPLC one. The ketene imines¹⁹ and the aldehydes²⁰ were prepared according to the literature. All the solvents were dried and purified according to standard procedures.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Iminooxetanes.

A) With Lanthanide Shift Reagents and Chromium Acetyl Acetonate Catalysts. The ketene imine and the aldehyde, in the presence of 1.0-2.0 mmol % of catalyst, were reacted in CCl₄ at the selected temperature for the time required. Removal of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue afforded the isolation of pure diastereoisomers or of fractions containing one of the isomers as the major one. In several cases these fractions were rechromatographed in order to increase the relative amount of this isomer. Although chromatography was performed with dried solvents and with silica preheated in an oven, on occasions the oxetanes were contaminated by variable amounts *(ca* 5-10 %) of the corresponding 8-hydroxyamides, formed by hydrolytic ring opening during the elution. These amides were quantitatively removed by filtration after the oxetanes had been dissolved in n-pentane and left at - 20 'C for one day. After the workup, the oxetanes were always obtained as oily viscous residues.

B) With MgBr₂.Et₂O, BF₃.Et₂O, and ZnCl₂ (1.0 M solution in diethyl ether). A solution of the catalyst in CH₂Cl₂ was cooled under nitrogen at - 50 $^{\circ}$ C and an equimolar solution of the aldehyde was added over 3 min. After 5 min the ketene imine was added and the reaction mixture was thermostated at the selected temperatute for the time required under stirring. The reaction was quenched by adding a two mole excess of triethylamine. The solution was poured onto 100 ml of saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate and extracted with ether. Work-up of the crude reaction mixture was as described above.

2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)imino]-3-methyl-4-[(S)-1-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-oxy]ethyl]oxetanes

3-SSR, fSSS, 3-SRS, and *fSRR). The* aldehyde **la** *(0.5 g. 2.6* mmol) and the ketene imine 2a (0.64 g, 3.97 mmol) were reacted in the presence of Yt(fod)₃ (0.05 g, 0.046 mmol) at 25 °C for 24 h. Flash chromatography (*n*-pentane/ethyl ether, 2:1) gave 0.785 g (2.25 mmol, 87 %) of oxetanes. **3-SSR:** this compound was obtained as the major product in a $3{\text -}SSR:3{\text -}SSS = 20:1$ mixture. ¹H NMR: δ 0.0 (s, Me, 3), 0.05 (s, Me, 3), 0.8 (s, Me, 9), 1.10 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.40 (d, Me-7, 3), 3.65 (m, H-3, 1), 3.75 (s, *OMe*, 3), 4.07 (m, H-5, 1), 4.12 (m, H-4, 1), 6.8-7.3 (m, 4 H, arom); $J_{H,Me-7}$ = 7.0, $J_{H,Me-6}$ = 6.5, $J_{3,4}$ = 3.7, $J_{4,5}$ = 3.5 Hz. *Anal*. Calcd for

 $C_19H_31NO_3Si$: C, 65.29; H, 8.94; N, 4.01. Found: C, 65.36; H, 8.90; N, 4.03. 3-SSS: this compound was obtained as the major product in a 3-SSS: 3 -SSR = 4:1 mixture. ¹H NMR δ 0.0 (s, Me, 3), 0.05 (s, Me, 3), 0.8 *(s, Me, 9), 1.20 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.40 (d, Me-7, 3), 3.75 (s, OMe, 3), 3.80 (m, H-3, 1), 4.15 (m, H-5, 1), 4.40 (m,* 4-H, 1), 6.8-7.3 (m, 4 H, arom); $J_{H,Me-7}$ = 7.0, $J_{H,Me-6}$ = 6.5, $J_{3,4}$ = 6.75, $J_{4,5}$ = 6.0 Hz. *Anal*. Calcd for Cl9H3lNO3Si: C, 65.29; H, 8.94; N. 4.01. Found: C, 65.43; H. 8.92; N, 3.97. 3.SRS: this compound was obtained as the major product in a 3-SRS:3-SRR = 20:1 mixture. ¹H NMR δ 0.1 (s, Me, 6), 0.9 (s, Me, 9), 1.20 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.42 (d, Me-7, 3), 3.35 (m, H-3, l), 3.75 (s, OMe, 3), 4.00 (m, H-5, 1). 4.15 (m. H-4, l), 6.8-7.3 (m, 4 H, arom); $J_{H,Me-7} = 7.3$, $J_{H,Me-6} = 6.0$, $J_{3.4} = 4.3$, $J_{4.5} = 6.0$. *Anal*. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₁NO₃Si: C, 65.29; H, 8.94; N, 4.01. Found: C, 65.25; H, 8.97; N, 4.04. 3-SRR; this compound was obtained as the major product in a 3-SRR:3-SRS = 5:1 mixture. ¹H NMR d 0.05 (s, Me, 3), 0.06 (s, Me, 3), 0.9 (s, Me, 9), 1.15 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.35 (d, Me-7, 3), 3.75 (m, H-3, 1), 3.75 (s, OMe, 3), 4.10 (m, H-5, 1), 4.40 (m, H-4, 1), 6.8-7.3 $(m, 4 H, \text{arom})$; $J_{H, \text{Me-7}} = 6.7$, $J_{H, \text{Me-6}} = 6.3$, $J_{3,4} = 6.7$, $J_{4,5} = 8.5$. *Anal.* Calcd for C₁₉H₃₁NO₃Si: C, 65.29; H, 8.94; N, 4.01. Found: C, 65.33; H, 8.98; N, 3.96. All the diastereoisomers showed identical mass and ir spectral data. Ms: m/z 349 (M⁺), 292, 218, 161, 149; ir: *v* 1737 (O-C=N), 1506 cm⁻¹.

2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)iminol-3-methyl-4-[(S~l-[(triphenylmethyl)oxylethytloxctanes (4.SSR, 4-SSS, 4-SRR, and 4-SRS). *Procedure A: The* aldehyde **lb** (1.69 g, 5.4 mmol) and the ketene imine 2a (0.86 g, 5.4 mmol) were reacted in the presence of Yt(fod)₃ (0.08 g, 0.074 mmol) at 25 °C for 5 days. Flash chromatography (n-pentane/ethyl ether, 2:1) gave 2.1 g (4.4 mmol, 82.0 %) of oxetanes. *Procedure B*: The aldehyde $(0.34 \text{ g}, 1.1 \text{ mmol})$ and the ketene imine $(0.170 \text{ g}, 1.1 \text{ mmol})$ were reacted in the presence of an equimolar amount of BF3.Et20. There was obtained 0.378 g (0.792 mmol. 72 %) of oxetanes. 4.SSR: **this** compound was obtained *(Procedure A)* as the major product in a $4{\text -}SSR:4{\text -}SRS = 4:1$ mixture. ¹H NMR δ 0.75 (d, Me-6,3), 1.39 (d, Me-7. 3), 3.60 (m, H-3, l), 3.78 (s, OMe, 3), 3.90 (m, H-5, l), 4.01 (m, H-4, 1). 6.7-7.6 (m, 19 H, arom); J_{H,Me-7} = 7.0, J_{H,Me-6} = 6.0, J_{3,4} = 4.2, J_{4,5} = 3.5 Hz. *Anal.* Calcd for C₃₂H₃₁NO₃: C, 80.47; H, 6.54; N, 2.93. Found: C, 80.36; H, 6.58; N, 2.95. Q-SSS: this compound was obtained *(Procedure A)* as the major product in a 4-SSS:4-SRS = 10:1 mixture . ¹H NMR δ 0.99 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.21 (d, Me-7, 3), 3.73 $(m, H-3, 1), 3.75$ (s, OMe, 3), 3.82 $(m, H-5, 1), 4.49$ $(m, H-4, 1), 6.7-7.6$ $(m, 19 H, \text{arom}); J_{\text{H.Me-7}} = 7.0$, *J*_{H,Me-6} = 6.0, *J*_{3,4} = 6.9, *J*_{4,5} = 5.6 Hz; ¹H NMR relevant resonances in C₆D₆: 8 0.88 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.12 (d, Me-7, 3), 3.40 (m, H-3, 1), 3.82 (m, H-5, 1), 4.20 (m, H-4, 1). *Anal*. Calcd for C₃₂H₃₁NO₃: C, 80.47; H, 6.54; N, 2.93. Found: C, 80.55; H, 6.57; N, 2.90. 4-SRR: this compound was isolated as pure diastreoisomer *(Procedure A).* 'H NMB 8 0.66 (d, Me-6, *3), 1.21* (d, Me-7, *3), 3.75* (m, H-3, l), 3.75 (s. *OMe,* **3), 3.92** (m, *H*-5, 1), 4.58 (m, H-4, 1), 6.7-7.6 (m, 19 H, arom); $J_{H,Me-7} = 6.7$, $J_{H,Me-6} = 6.0$, $J_{3,4} = 6.9$, $J_{4,5} = 8.5$ Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₃₁NO₃: C, 80.47; H, 6.54; N, 2.93. Found: C, 80.53; H, 6.50; N, 2.96. 4-SRS: this compound was obtained *(Procedure B)* as the major product in a 4-SRS:4-SSR = 10:1 mixture. ¹H NMR δ 0.84 (4 Me-6, 3), 1.33 (d, Me-7, 3), 3.42 (m, H-3, l), 3.78 (s, OMe, 3), 3.90 (m, H-4, 1). 3.95 (m, H-5, l), 6.7-7.6 (m, 19 H, arom); $J_{H,Me-7}$ = 7.4, $J_{H,Me-6}$ = 6.0, $J_{3.4}$ = 4.0, $J_{4.5}$ = 6.0 Hz. *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{32}H_{31}NO_3$: C, 80.47; H, 6.54; N, 2.93. Found: C, 80.39; H, 6.55; N, 2.90 All the diastereoisomers showed identical mass and ir spectral data. Ms: m/z 477 (M⁺), 149, 147; ir: v 1732 (O-C=N), 1506 cm⁻¹.

2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)imino]-3-ethyl-4-[(S)-1-[(triphenylmethyl)-oxy]ethyl]oxetane (5-SSS, 5-SRS, *S-SRR,* **and** *S-SSR). The* aldehyde **lb (0.873 g, 2.76** mmol) and the ketene imine **2b (0.50 g, 2.85** mmol), were reacted in the presence of Yt(fod)₃ (0.05 g, 0.046 mmol) at 30 °C for 8 days. Flash chromatography (*n*-pentane/ethyl ether = 2:1) gave 1.15 g (2.34 mmol, 85.0 %) of oxetanes. **5-SSS:** ¹H NMR 8 0.98 (t, Me, 3), 1.04 (d, Me-6,3), 1.5-1.8 (m, CH2,2), 3.45 (m, H-3, l), 3.77 (s, OMe, 3), 3.84 (m, H-5, l), 4.45 (m, H-4, 1). 6.75-7.20 (m, 4 H, arom), 7.20-7.6 (m, 15 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 7.0$, $J_{4,5} = 4.5$ Hz. *Anal.* Calcd for C₃₃H₃₃NO₃: C. 80.62; H, 6.77; N, 2.85. Found: C, 80.51; H, 6.78; N, 2.89. 5-SRS: this compound was obtained as the major product in a 5-SSS:5-SRS:5-SRR:5-SSR = 0.8:2.0:1.0:0.9 mixture. ¹H NMR 8 0.87 (d, Me-6, 3), 0.97 (t, Me, 3), 1.7-1.9 (m. CH2. 2), 3.33 (m, H-3, l), 3.78 (s. OMe, 3), 3.80-3.95 (m, H-5, l), 4.01 (m, H-4, l), 6.75-7.20 (m, 4 H, arom), 7.2-7.6 (m, 15 H, atom); *JsA = 4.2, J4,5 = 5.6 Hz. And. Calcd* for C33H33NO3: C, 80.62; H, 6.77; N, 2.85. Found: C, 80.75; H, 6.72; N, 2.81. 5-SRR: this compound was obtained as the major product in a *S-SRR:S-SRS =* 2.O:l.O mixture. lH NMR 6 0.73 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.01 (t, Me, 3), 1.6-1.8 (m, CH2, 2), 3.55 (m, H-3, 1). 3.79 (s, OMe, 3), 3.88 (m, H-5, l), 4.54 (m, H-4, 1). 6.75-7.25 (m, 4 H, atom), 7.3-7.6 (m, 15 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 7.0$, $J_{4,5} = 7.5$ Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₃₃NO₃: C, 80.62; H, 6.77; N, 2.85. Found: C, 80.50; H, 6.79; N, 2.87. *SSSR.* lH NMR 6 0.73 (d, Me-6.3). 1.00 (t, Me, 3). 1.7-1.9 (m, CH2,2), 3.55 (m, H-3.1). 3.78 (s, OMe, 3), 3.80-3.95 (m. H-5, 1). 4.08 (m. H-4, 1). 6.70-7.25 (m, 4 H. arom). 7.3-7.6 (m. 15 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 4.0$, $J_{4,5} = 3.1$ Hz.. *Anal*. Calcd for C₃₃H₃₃NO₃: C, 80.62; H, 6.77; N, 2.85. Found: C, 80.72; H, 6.81; N, 2.88. All the diastereoisomers showed identical mass and ir spectral data. **MS: m/z** 491 (M+), 243, 149; ir: v 1735 (O-C=N), 1506 cm⁻¹.

2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)imino]-3-ethyl-4-[(S)-1-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-oxy]ethyl]oxetane (6-SSS, *6-SSR,* **6-SRR. and** *6-SRS). The* aldehyde **la (0.627 g. 3.34** mmol) and the ketene imine **2b** (0.581 g, 3.32 mmol) were reacted in the presence of Yt(fod)₃ (0.045 g, 0.041 mmol) at 25 °C for 3 days. Flash chromatography (n-pentane/ethyl ether, 2:1) gave 1.06 g (2.92 mmol, 88 %) of oxetanes. 6-SSS: this compound was obtained as the major product in a $6\text{-}SSS:6\text{-}SSR = 8.0:1.0$ mixture. ¹H NMR δ 0.03 (s, Me, 3), 0.08 (s, Me, 3), 0.87 (s, Me, 9), 1.16 (t, Me-8, 3), 1.23 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.8-2.2 (m, CH₂, 2), 3.62 (m, H-3, 1), 3.78 (s, OMe, 3), 4.19 (m, H-5, 1), 4.43 (m, H-4, 1), 6.8-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 6.6$, $J_{4,5} = 6.0$ Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₃NO₃Si: C, 66.08; H, 9.15; N, 3.85. Found: C, 66.35; H, 9.10; N, 3.89. 6-SSR: this compound was obtained as the major product in a $6\text{-}SSR$: $6\text{-}SRR = 5.0:1.0$ mixture. ¹H NMR δ 0.02 (s, Me, 3), 0.06 (s, Me, 3). 0.86 (s, Me, 9), 1.06 (t, Me-8, 3), 1.14 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.7-2.2 (m, CH₂, 2), 3.56 (m, H-3, 1), 3.79 (s, OMe, 3), 4.11 (m, H-5, l), 4.22 (m, H-4, 1). 6.8-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); 53.4 = 3.6, J4,5 = 3.6 Hz. *Anal.* Calcd for C₂₀H₃₃NO₃Si: C, 66.08; H, 9.15; N, 3.85. Found: C, 65.89; H, 9.12; N, 3.87. 6-SRR: this compound was obtained as the major product in a 6-SRR:6-SRS = 12.0:1.0 mixture. ¹H NMR δ 0.06 (s, Me, 3), 0.07 (s, Me, 3) 0.89 (s, Me, 9). 1.17 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.18 (t. Me-g, 3), 1.7-2.0 (m, CH2, 2), 3.57 (m, H-3, l), 3.78 (s, OMe, 3), 4.11 (m, H-5, 1), 4.43 (m, H-4, 1), 6.8-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 7.0$, $J_{4,5} = 8.0$ Hz. *Anal*. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₃NO₃Si: C, 66.08; H, 9.15; N, 3.85. Found: C, 66.21; H, 9.19; N, 3.82. 6-SRS: this compound was obtained as the major product in a $6\text{-}SRS:6\text{-}SRR = 10.0:1.0$ mixture. ¹H NMR δ 0.09 (s, Me, 6), 0.90 (s, Me, 9), 1.06 (t, Me-g, 3), 1.19 (d, Me-6,3), 1.8-2.0 (m, CH2,2), 3.28 (m, H-3, 1). 3.78 (s, OMe. 3), 4.01 (m, H-5, l), 4.24 (m, H-4, l), 6.8-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); J3,4 = 4.3, *J4,5 = 5.9* Hz. *And.* Calcd for C20H33NO3Si: C, 66.08; H, 9.15; N. 3.85. Found: C, 66.01; H, 9.19; N, 3.87. All the diastereoisomers showed identical mass and ir spectral data. Ms: m/z 363 (M⁺), 175, 149; ir: v 1732 (O-C=N) cm⁻¹.

2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)imino]-3-ethyl-4-[(S)-1-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-oxy]benzyl]oxetane (7-SSS, **'I-SSR, 7SRR, 'I-SRS). The** aldehyde lc (0.495 g, 1.98 mmol) and the ketene imine 2b (0.345 g, 1.97 mmol) were reacted in the presence of Yt(fod)₃ (0.036 g, 0.033 mmol) at 25 °C for 2 days. Flash chromatography (n-hexane/ethyl acetate, 4:1) gave 0.76 g (1.79 mmol, 90.0 %) of oxetanes. $7-SSS$: ¹H NMR δ -0.21 (s, Me, 3), 0.02 (s, Me, 3), 0.88 (s, Me, 9), 1.02 (t, Me, 3), 1.8-2.0 (m, CH₂, 1), 2.05-2.25 (m, CH₂, 1), 3.60 (m, H-3,

1), 3.77 (s, OMe, 3), 4.80 (m, H-4, 1), 5.09 (m, H-5, 1), 6.8-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom), 7.2-7.4 (m, 5 H, arom); $J_{3,4} =$ 6.5, J_{4.5} = 5.6 Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₅NO₃Si: C, 70.54; H, 8.29; N, 3.29. Found: C, 70.66; H, 8.24; N, 3.33. 7-SSR: this compound was obtained as the major product in a 7-SSR:7-SRR:7-SSS = 2.8:1.5:1.0 mixture. ¹H NMR relevant resonances were at δ 0.53 (t, Me, 3), 0.88 (s, Me, 9), 3.73 (m, H-3, 1), 4.39 (m, H-4, 1), 5.17 (m, H-5, 1); $J_{3,4} = 4.0$, $J_{4,5} = 2.7$ Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₅NO₃Si: C, 70.54; H, 8.29; N, 3.29. Found: C, 70.70; H, 8.33; N, 3.32. 7-SRR: ¹H NMR δ -0.15 (s, Me, 3), 0.08 (s, Me, 3), 0.84 (s, Me, 9), 0.9 (t, Me, 3), 1.5-1.7 (m, CH₂, 1), 1.8-2.0 (m, CH₂, 1), 3.50 (m, H-3, 1), 3.80 (s, OMe, 3), 4.90 (4.58 in C₆D₆) (m, H-4, 1), 4.90 (4.76 in C₆D₆) (m, H-5, 1), 6.8-7.3 (m, 4 H, arom), 7.2-7.4 (m, 5 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 6.9$, $J_{4,5} =$ 7.9 Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₅NO₃Si: C, 70.54; H, 8.29; N, 3.29. Found: C, 70.64; H, 8.34; N, 3.26. 7-SRS: ¹H NMR δ -0.06 (s, Me, 3), 0.08 (s, Me, 3), 0.61 (t, Me, 3), 0.89 (s, Me, 9), 1.2-1.8 (m, CH₂, 2), 3.20 (m, H-3, 1), 3.79 (s, OMe, 3), 4.39 (m, H-4, 1), 4.80 (m, H-5, 1), 6.7-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom), 7.2-7.4 (m, 5 H, arom) $J_{3,4} = 4.2$, $J_{4,5} = 6.6$ Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₅NO₃Si: C, 70.54; H, 8.29; N, 3.29. Found: C, 70.39; H, 8.35; N, 3.33. All the diastereoisomers showed identical mass and ir spectral data. Ms: m/z 425 (M⁺), 175, 149; ir: v 1735 (O-C=N) cm⁻¹.

2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)imino]-3-tert-butyl-4-[(S)-1-[(triphenylmethyl)-oxy]ethyl]oxetane $(8-SSR)$ and 8-SRS). The aldehyde 1b $(1.06 \text{ g}, 3.35 \text{ mmol})$ and the ketene imine 2c $(0.685 \text{ g}, 3.37 \text{ mmol})$ were added to an equimolar amount of MgBr₂ at 25 °C for 24 hrs. Flash chromatography (n-hexane/ethyl acetate, 7:1) gave 1.52 g (2.93 mmol, 87.0 %) of the mixture of the two oxetanes. MS m/z 519 (M⁺), 203, 149; ir: v 1740 (O-C=N), 1506 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₃₅H₃₇NO₃: C, 80.89; H, 7.18; N, 2.7O. Found: C, 80.64; H, 7.23; N, 2.72. 8-SSR. ¹H NMR δ 0.76 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.09 (s, Me, 9), 3.45 (m, H-3, 1), 3.81 (s, OMe, 3), 3.95 (m, H-5, 1), 4.22 (m, H-4, 1), 6.8-7.3 (m, 4 H, arom), 7.2-7.7 (m, 15 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 4.0$, $J_{4,5} = 2.5$ Hz. 8-SRS. ¹H NMR δ 0.99 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.04 (s, Me, 9), 3.19 (m, H-3, 1), 3.81 (s, OMe, 3), 3.88 (m, H-5, 1), 4.07 (m, H-4, 1), 6.8-7.3 (m, 4 H, arom), 7.2-7.7 (m, 15 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 4.0$, $J_{4,5} = 4.0$ Hz. 2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)imino]-3-tert-butyl-4-[(S)-1-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-oxy]benzyl]oxetanes (9-SSR, 9-SRS). The aldehyde 1c $(0.89 \text{ g}, 3.56 \text{ mmol})$ and the ketene imine 2c $(0.71 \text{ g}, 3.50 \text{ mmol})$ were reacted in the presence of Yt(fod)3 (0.084 g, 0.08 mmol) at 25 °C for three days. Flash chromatography (n-hexane/ethyl acetate, 7:1) gave in the order: 9-SSR (0.24 g, 0.53 mmol, 15.0 %) and 9-SRS (1.19 g, 2.62 mmol, 75.0 %). **9-SSR.** ¹H NMR δ - 0.0 (s, Me, 3), - 0.02 (s, Me, 3), 0.75 (s, Me, 9), 0.89 (s, Me, 9), 3.48 (m, H-3, 1), 3.79 (s, OMe, 3), 4.44 (m, H-4, 1), 5.09 (m, H-5, 1), 6.8-7.3 (m, 4 H, arom), 7.3-7.5 (m, 5 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 3.8$, $J_{4,5} =$ 2.7 Hz. Anal. Calcd for C27H39NO3Si: C, 71.48; H, 8.66; N, 3.09. Found: C, 71.39; H, 8.62; N, 3.13. 9-SRS. ¹H NMR δ - 0.07 (s, Me, 3), - 0.05 (s, Me, 3), 0.82 (s, Me, 9), 0.88 (s, Me, 9), 3.04 (m, H-3, 1), 3.79 (s, OMe, 3), 4.40 (m, H-4, 1), 4.80 (m, H-5, 1), 6.8-7.3 (m, 4 H, arom), 7.3-7.5 (m, 5 H, arom); $J_{3,4} = 4.1$, $J_{4,5} = 6.3$ Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₉NO₃Si: C, 71.48; H, 8.66; N, 3.09. Found: C, 71.35; H, 8.69; N, 3.14. The two isomers showed identical mass and ir spectral data. Ms: m/z 453 (M⁺), 203, 149; ir: v 1742 (O-C=N) cm⁻¹.

2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)imino]-3-methyl-4-[(S)-1-[(2-methoxyethoxymethyl)-oxy]ethyl]oxetane (10-SSR, 10-SSS, 10-SRS, and 10-SRR). The aldehyde 1d (0.32 g, 1.98 mmol) and the ketene imine 2a (0.332 g, 2.06 mmol) were reacted in the presence of Yt(fod)3 (0.044 g, 0.042 mmol) at 25 °C for 24 hrs. HPLC analysis was performed on a 250 X 4.6 mm column Spherisorb 5 MOS Cg. Flash chromatography (n-pentane/Et3N, 4:1) gave 0.549 g (1.70 mmol, 85 %) of oxetanes. 10-SSR: this compound was obtained as the major product in a 10-SSR:10-SSS = 8.0:1.0 mixture. ¹H NMR δ 1.20 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.46 (d, Me-7, 3), 3.36 (s, OMe, 3), 3.45-3.6 (m, CH₂, 2), 3.65-3.75 (m, CH₂and H-3, 3), 3.76 (s, OMe, 3), 4.05-4.14 (m, H-5, 1), 4.26 (t, H-4, 1), 4.75-4.85 (m, CH₂, 2), 6.8-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); $J_{H,Me-7} = 7.5$, $J_{H,Me-6} = 6.7$, $J_{3,4} = 4.3$, $J_{4,5} = 4.3$ Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₅NO₅: C, 63.14; H, 7.79; N, 4.33. Found: C, 63.31; H, 7.84; N, 4.30. **10-SSS:** this compound was obtained as the major product in a **10-SSS: 10-SSR** = $4.0:1.0$ mixture. ¹H NMR δ 1.31 (d, Me-6, 3). 1.46 (d, Me-7, 3). 3.38 (s, OMe, 3). 3.4-3.6 (m, CH2, 2). 3.65-3.75 (m, CHz, 2). 3.78 (s, OMe, 3), 3.80-4.0 (m, H-3, 1), 4.05-4.14 (m, H-5, 1), 4.53 (t, H-4, 1), 4.75-4.85 (m, CH₂, 2), 6.8-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); $J_{H,Me-7} = 7.5$, $J_{H,Me-6} = 6.7$, $J_{3,4} = 6.8$, $J_{4,5} = 6.5$ Hz. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₅NO₅: C, 63.14; H, 7.79; N, 4.33. Found: C, 63.01; H, 7.75; N, 4.31. 10-SRS: this compound was obtained as the major product in a 10-SRS:10-SRR = 4.0:1.0 mixture. ¹H NMR δ 1.22 (d, Me-6, 3), 1.45 (d, Me-7, 3), 3.36 (s, OMe, 3). 3.4-3.55 (m, CH₂, and H-3, 3), 3.65-3.75 (m, CH₂, 2), 3.78 (s, OMe, 3), 4.0-4.1 (m, H-5, 1), 4.26 (dd, H-4, 1), 4.78-4.82 (m, CH₂, 2), 6.8-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); *J*_{H,Me-7} = 7.5, *J*_{H,Me-6} = 6.5, *J*₃, 4 = 4.6, *J*₄, 5 = 7.1 Hz. *Anal.* Calcd for Cl7H25N05: C, 63.14; H, 7.79; N, 4.33. Found: C. 62.93; H, 7.82; N, 4.36. **lo_sRR: this** compound was obtained as the major product in a $10-SRR:10-SRS = 3.5:1.0$ mixture. ¹H NMR δ 1.20 (d, Me-6. 3). 1.38 (d, Me-7,3), 3.34 (s, OMe, 3), 3.4-3.55 (m, CH2,2), 3.65-3.75 (m. CHz, 2), 3.78 (s, OMe, 3). 3.75-3.85 (m, H-3, l), 4.05-4.15 (m, H-5, l), 4.56 (dd, H-4, 1), 4.78-4.82 (m, CHz, 2). 6.8-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); $J_{H,Me-7}$ = 7.5, $J_{H,Me-6}$ = 6.5, $J_{3,4}$ = 6.9, $J_{4,5}$ = 9.5 Hz. *Anal.* Calcd for C₁₇H₂₅NO₅: C, 63.14; H, 7.79; N, 4.33. Found: C, 63.27; H, 7.82; N, 4.37. All the diastereoisomers showed identical mass and ir spectral data. Ms: m/z 323 (M⁺), 161, 149; ir: v 1730-1735 (O-C=N) cm⁻¹

2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)imino]-3-tert-butyl-4-[(S)-1-[(2-methoxyethoxymethyl)-oxy]ethyl]oxetane **(ll-SSR and ll-SSS). The** aldehyde Id (0.32 g, 1.98 mmol) and the ketene imine 2c (0.40 g, 2.00 mmol) were reacted in the presence of Yt(fod)₃ (0.10 g, 0.095 mmol) at 25 °C for ten days. The reaction was stopped at 45 96 conversion of the reagents and the product distribution (Table II) was directly **evaluated on the crude** by ¹H NMR. Flash chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate, 1:2) yielded in the order: 11-SSR (0.153 g, 0.42) mmol, 21.0 %) and 11-SSS (0.127 g, 0.35 mmol, 17.5 %). 11-SSR. ¹H NMR δ 1.10 (s, Me, 9), 1.19 (d, Me-6, 3), 3.34 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.3-3.5 (m, CH₂ and H-3, 3), 3.6-3.7 (m, CH₂, 2), 3.78 (s, OMe, 3), 4.09 (m, H-5, 1), 4.36 (m, H-4, 1), 4.3-4.4 (m, CH₂, 2), 6.7-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); J_{H,Me-6} = 6.8, J_{3,4} = 3.8, J_{4,5} = 3.2 Hz. *Anal.* Calcd for C₂₀H₃₁NO₅: C, 65.73; H, 8.55; N, 3.83. Found: C, 65.80; H, 8.60; N, 3.88. 11-SSS. ¹H NMR δ 1.10 (s, Me, 9), 1.22 (d, Me-6, 3), 3.14 (d, H-3,1), 3.39 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.4-3.5 (m, CH₂, 2), 3.7-3.8 (m, CH₂, 2), 3.77 (s, OMe, 3). 3.98 (m, H-5, 1), 4.37 (m, H-4, 1). 4.75-4.85 (m, CH,, 2). 6.7-7.2 (m, 4 H, arom); $J_{H,Me-6}$ = 6.5, $J_{3,4}$ = 6.5, $J_{4,5}$ = 2.2 Hz. *Anal*. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{31}NO_5$: C, 65.73; H, 8.55; N, 3.83. Found: C, 65.61; H, 8.58; N, 3.79. All the diastereoisomers showed identical mass and ir spectral data **MS: m/z** 365, $(M⁺)$, 162, 149; ir: v 1735-1740 (O-C=N) cm⁻¹.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1 Barbaro, G.; Battaglia, A.; and Giorgianni, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, 53, 5501.
- 2 Barbaro, G.; Battaglia, A.; and Giorgianni, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 26, 2995.
- *3* Barbaro, G.; Battaglia, A.; and Giorgianni, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992,57.5128.**
- **4 Barbam, G.; Battaglia, A.; and Giorgianni. P.G.** *unpublished results.*

5 24minooxetanes are also produced in photochemiclly induced cycloadditions as minor isomers in mixtures with 3-iminooxetanes. See for example: Singer, L. A.; Davis, G. A.; Muralidharan, V. P. *J. Amer. Chem. Sot.* **1%9,91,897 and references** cited in these publications.

6 Koch, H.; Runsik, J.; Scharf, H. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1983, 31, 3217.

7 See for example: a. Cram, D. J.; Knight, D. K. J. *Amer. Chem. Sot.* **1963,8.5,1245.** b. Cram, D. J.; Abd Elhafez, F. A. *J. Amer. Chem. Sot.* **1952.74.5828. c. Cram,** D. J.; Kopecky, K. R. *J. Amer. Chem. Sot.* **1959**, 81, 2748. d. Still, W. C.; McDonald, J. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, 21, 1031. e. Heatchock, C. H.; **Pimmg, C.** T.; Buse, C. T.; Hagen. J. P.; Young, S. D.; Sohn, J. E. *J. Amer. Gem. Sot.* **1979.101,7077.**

8 See for example: a. Cram, D. J.; Knight, J. D. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1952, 74, 5835.** b. Felkin. H.; Cherest, M.; Prudent, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 2199. c. Ahn, N. T.; Eisenstein, O.; LeFour, J. M.; Tran Huu Dau, M. E. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 6146. d. Ahn, N. T. Top. Curent Chem. 1980, 88, 145. e. Cornforth, J. W.; Cornforth, R. H.; Mathew, K. K. *J. Chem. Sot.* **1959,112.**

9 The use of aldehydes in hetero-Diels-Alder reactions has been extensively rewieved. See for example: a. Weinreb, S. M.; Staib, R. R. *Tetraheakon* **1982,38,3087.** b. Boger, D. L.; Weinreb, S. M. *Hetero* Diels-Alder Methodology in Organic Synthesis; Academic Press: New York, 1987.

10 The IUPAC and, in parentheses, the commercial names of lanthanides used were as follows: tris(6,6,7,7,8,8-heptafluoro-2,2-dimethyl-3,5-octanedionato)ytterbium or -europium [Yt(fod)₃, or Eu(fod)₃]; tris-[-3-[trifluoromethyl)hydroxymethylene]-(+)-camphorato]europium [Eu(tfc)₃]; tris-[-3-(heptafluoro $propv1$))hydroxymethylene]-(+)-camphorato]ytterbium [Yt(hfc)₂].

11 Heathcock, C. H.; Flippin, L. A. *J. Amer. Chem. Sot. l983,105,1667.*

12 Cainelli, G.; Panunzio, M.; Basile. T.; Bongini, A.; Giacomini, D.; Martelli, G. *J. Chem. Sot., Perkin Trans. I* 1987, 2637.

13 Also MgBr₂.Et₂O revealed to be an efficient catalyst for the formation of oxetanes from the ketene imines 2a and 2b. However, in these cases a competitive addition of hydrobromic acid to oxetanes, leading to the corresponding β -haloamides, occurred. This reaction will be examined in detail in a separate paper.

14 Ghosez, L.; 0' Donnell, M. J. in *"Perkylic Reactions",* Vol. II, Marchand, A. P. and Lehr, R. E. Eds:, Academic Press, New York, Chapter 2,1977.

15 An evidence for this type of interaction is the formation of measurable amounts of oligomers from the ketene imines in the presence of BF3 due to a reaction between a molecule of Lewis acid coordinated ketene imine, as the acceptor partner, and a molecule of un-coordinated ketene imine, as the donor. Instead, no oligomerization process of the ketene imines was observed in the presence of softer lanthanide catalysts.

16 For an exhaustive account on this topic see Houk, K. N. in *"Pericydic Reactions",* Vol. II, Marchand A. P.; and Lehr, R. E. Eds: Academic Press, New York, Chapter 4,1977 and references therein.

17 For a description of allowed transition state geometries in cumulene cycloadditions see lit. 14. Possible four electron transition state combinations are a $[\pi^2 s]$ -ketene imine + $[\pi^2 a]$ -Lewis acid-coordinated aldehyde and a $\lbrack \pi^2 \rbrack$ -Lewis acid-coordinated ketene imine + $\lbrack \pi^2 \rbrack$ -aldehyde. Moreover, six-electron transition state combinations, such as $[\pi^2 s + \pi^2 s + \pi^2 s]$ and $[\pi^2 s + \pi^2 s + \pi^2 s]$, involving a favorable secondary interaction of the low-lying $\pi^*_{C=N}$ orthogonal molecular orbital of the Lewis acid-coordinated ketene imine are possible.

18 Masamune, S.; Ellinghoe, J. W.; Choy, W. *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 5526.

19 a. Battaglia, A.; Dondoni, A.; Giorgianni, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, 45, 3766 and references therein. b. see ref. 1.

20 **la:** Massad, S. K.; Hawkins, L. D.; Baker, D. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983,** 48, 5180. **lb:** Mori, K.; Kikuchi, H. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1989, 963. 1c: Andreoli, P.; Cainelli, G.; Panunzio, M.; Bandini, E.; Martelli, G.; Spunta, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991,56,5984.** Id: Kelly, **T.** R.; Kaul, P. N.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1983,48,2775.**